In Focus

April 12, 2016

ECONOMICS

Energizing Non-Energy Investment

by Benjamin Tal and Nick Exarhos

Avery Shenfeld
(416) 594-7356
avery.shenfeld@cibc.ca

Benjamin Tal
(416) 956-3698
benjamin.tal@cibc.ca

Andrew Grantham
(416) 956-3219
andrew.grantham@cibc.ca

Royce Mendes
(416) 594-7354
royce.mendes@cibc.ca

Nick Exarhos
(416) 956-6527
nick.exarhos@cibc.ca

With an increase in investment not seen since 2006, Canada's beleaguered manufacturing sector is poised for a recovery, energized by a lower loonie. It appears a rotational shift in capital spending is finally underway, which will make the economy far less vulnerable to the cyclical ups and downs of the oil patch. Nowhere is the dramatic decline in crude prices more visible than in capital spending.

Energy-related investment lost one-third of its might in 2015, with more cuts coming. And when oil prices recover, don't expect a quick turnaround in energy CapEx as the correlation between price and Canadian spending is probably much lower than it was in the pre-shale era.

Other sectors will have to step up to the plate, and it's starting to happen. Relatively large scale infrastructure spending will carry some of the weight. A more balanced spending distribution, free of the crowding out effects of energy investment, along with an appropriately valued dollar should also work to raise the CapEx intensity of non-energy sectors.

Natural Resource Impact on the Economy—Not as Large as Perceived

Ask any foreigner about the Canadian economy and the first image is of a country that is defined by its natural resource sector. Yet, the sector employs only 6% of all Canadian workers and, even in 2012, accounted for just 16% of economic output, down from 20% five years earlier. Not only are those figures lower than many assume, but they were also on a clear multi-year downward trajectory even before the oil price shock (Chart 1). And those estimates are based on a broadly based definition of natural resources–one that reaches beyond the materials taken from the ground to encompass value-added manufacturing production (factory production whose inputs constitute a large share of raw materials).

Chart 1

Natural Resources: Direct Role in the Economy Not as Big As You Think



Source: Statistics Canada, CIBC

Chart 2

Natural Resources Rocks 2015 Growth, But Not Enough to Sink Economy



Source: Statistics Canada, CIBC

https://economics.cibccm.com/

Zooming in on 2015, the 29,000 jobs lost in the natural resource sector, while painful in Alberta, shaved only 18% from the national jobs-gain figure during the year. Although the fall in oil prices had a more significant effect on nominal output, it only cost the economy 0.3 percentage points in real terms—not trivial but hardly a calamity (Chart 2).

Resources Energized Capital Spending

The real impact of the natural resource sector was on capital spending. The magnitude of the decline in the energy space dwarfs any changes in the spending that firms in other sectors were planning for 2015 (Chart 3). No doubt influenced by the oil patch's importance in aggregate investment, Statistics Canada has released actual figures (as opposed to intentions) for energy sector capital spending earlier than usual. With data for 2015 in the books, we now know that capital spending in the oil patch fell by a thumping one-third—the largest decline since the great recession.

Looking forward, the bleeding should ease, but given further weakness in oil prices at the beginning of the year, and the limited scope for upside before Q4, we expect energy sector capital spending to fall by an additional 20% or so this year. Furthermore, while energy prices are expected to rise toward the $60 mark in 2017, it won't be a smooth ride.

The absence of an old-fashioned swing producer in the market is likely to result in increased volatility in the price of crude, which in turn, will make CEOs more cautious with new investment. Simply put, increased price volatility would work to reduce the correlation between price and investment in Canadian oil sands where projects are large and require significant upfront outlays. Accordingly we expect only a modest advance in spending in 2017 (Chart 4).

Chart 4

... with Total Investment Halfed by 2016 with Only Modest Recovery Ahead



Source: Statistics Canada, CIBC

Investment: Help Wanted

With energy investment not a contributor, we have to look for other candidates to fill the vacuum. The first that comes to mind is of course residential structures given the overall health of the country's real estate sector. Housing starts had a strong end to 2015, which should mean that residential investment will see one or two more decent quarters as initiated projects are seen through to completion.

Indeed, since the end of the recession, this corner of investment has been stronger than what was seen in the

Chart 3

Investment Drop in 2015 Dominated by Collapse in Energy Sector...



Source: Statistics Canada, CIBC

Chart 5

Spending Multipliers Outlined by Budget



Source: Finance Canada, CIBC

US, and we're more likely to see our neighbours to the south play catch-up. Further out, a slowing in housing demand should see homebuilding be a small negative contributor to Canadian growth by 2017.

Seeing the need to stimulate, the Federal government recently tabled a budget that will see roughly $4 bn in annual infrastructure spending over the next few years, and with another billion in the year ahead targeted at affordable housing. It's natural to see why policymakers are aiming spending dollars at these initiatives, with their estimated multiplier effects the highest (Chart 5).

Those estimates line up with the analysis the Conservative government compiled in the wake of its own stimulus program after the 2008/09 recession. Given the scope of the spending planned, and our own assumptions for a gradual winding down of money directed toward affordable housing, investment from the government should have the greatest impact next year and in 2018, the lift coming in at just about 0.5%-pts to the level of real GDP (Chart 6). Tax reductions will also give a modest added boost.

But we will need much more than infrastructure spending to fill the void. As illustrated in Chart 7, the Achilles heel of Canadian investment is in the area of machinery and equipment (M&E), where growth has disappointed not only in absolute terms but also relative to the performance south of the border.

M&E Spending: More from Everyone Needed

At the current negative 2%, there's a near-record gap between Canadian and US M&E investment intensity (investment as a share of GDP). That reflects the effect of a previously over-valued C$ on plant location decisions and the very strong post-recession recovery in US M&E investment. Note that the dramatic worsening in Canada's relative investment intensity position occurred despite a significant spike in energy investment in the years following the recession. In fact, at no point over the past few decades did M&E investment intensity in Canada exceed that of the US—even at the darkest days of the great recession.

Digging deeper and focusing on non-energy M&E investment, the key question is what's behind the chronic Canadian underperformance in M&E investment intensity. At any given point that intensity gap is a function of two factors: differences in industry structure and differences in within-industry investment intensity. To what extent is Canada lagging due to investment-intensive industries accounting for a relatively smaller share of GDP, or due to industry-specific issues?

Table 1 provides information on non-energy private sector GDP share and investment intensity by industry. Note, for example, that utilities account for a larger share of GDP in Canada, but its impact on the investment gap is partly offset by the fact that investment intensity in the sector is somewhat lower than seen in the US. Ditto for the important construction industry.

Employing a decomposition methodology developed by Statistics Canada, we estimate that close to 60% of the investment intensity gap in the past five years in the private, non-energy space was due to industry-specific factors. To the extent that in the last cycle energy and residential investment worked to crowd out spending in other industries, the coming years should see increased appetite for spending on M&E in the non-energy space.

Chart 6

Impact on Level of GDP From Federal Infrastructure Spending



Source: Finance Canada, CIBC estimates

Chart 7

Widening Gap: US & Canada Investment Intensity



Source: Statistics Canada, CIBC

Table 1
Investment Intensity by Sector

	Investment Intensity*		Weight in GDP	
	US	Canada	US	Canada
Agri., forestry, fishing & hunting	26.1%	12.8%	1.6%	2.2%
Utilities	20.0%	17.8%	2.1%	3.2%
Construction	6.1%	4.2%	4.9%	10.0%
Manufacturing	9.0%	8.5%	15.5%	14.6%
Wholesale trade	3.9%	2.9%	7.7%	8.0%
Retail trade	4.4%	4.8%	7.4%	7.5%
Transp. & warehousing	15.6%	13.3%	3.7%	6.0%
Information	9.6%	11.8%	6.1%	4.2%
Finance, insurance, real estate	5.9%	3.7%	25.6%	27.6%
Professional and business servi.	1.8%	3.5%	15.2%	7.4%
Admin. & waste management serv.	4.6%	2.9%	3.9%	3.6%
Accommodation & food serv.	4.3%	3.3%	3.6%	2.9%
Other services, except government	3.1%	4.0%	2.8%	2.8%

** investment intensity is the share of sector CAPEX to GDP*

Source: Statistics Canada, BEA, CIBC

And it might be happening already. True, the critical manufacturing sector planned for only 2.7% CapEx growth in in 2015 despite a weaker dollar. But economics 101 is not totally off base. This low figures masks the fact that out of the sector's 22 industries, no less than 18 have reported positive growth in spending intentions, and no less than nine industries reported increased intentions to spend by more than 20% (Chart 8).

As a result, the relatively subdued aggregate number does not reflect broadly based weakness but rather negative growth in a small number of relatively larger industries such as food and auto parts. And even here recent export numbers suggest that these may be turning a corner, auguring for a need to invest in capacity ahead, with the Bank of Canada's recent Q1 Business Outlook Survey giving further hope for a turn higher.

Filling the Gap, in 2017

In fact, our model for non-energy business capital spending, driven by US and Canadian GDP, the Canadian dollar, and our forecast for revenue growth points to a modest turn higher in real investment this year (Chart 9). A rough patch for earnings growth in 2015 was going to dampen the impetus for firms to ramp up investment, but 2017 should see more strength as profits recover and a cheap C$ lifts external demand.

Residential investment is still very strong as low rates have given housing starts further elbow room, and we're likely to see only a slow grind lower over the coming years. After the contributions from private residential and non-residential investment accounted for large fluctuations—both positive and negative—over the past several years, they aren't likely to sway the trend in quarterly growth much over the next two. Taken together with what businesses are slated to spend, look for overall investment to return to being a positive—albeit only modest—contributor to growth next year.

Chart 8
Enthusiasm for Factory Investment More Broadly-Based Than Perceived



Source: Statistics Canada, CIBC

Chart 9
CIBC Model Points to Real Recovery in Non-Resource Investment



Source: Statistics Canada, CIBC

CIBC World Markets Inc., CIBC World Markets Corp., CIBC World Markets Plc., CIBC Australia Limited and certain other corporate banking and capital markets activities of Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce operate under the brand name CIBC Capital Markets.

This report is issued and approved for distribution by (a) in Canada, CIBC World Markets Inc., a member of the Investment Industry Regulatory Organization of Canada, the Toronto Stock Exchange, the TSX Venture Exchange and a Member of the Canadian Investor Protection Fund, (b) in the United Kingdom, CIBC World Markets plc, which is regulated by the Financial Services Authority, and (c) in Australia, CIBC Australia Limited, a member of the Australian Stock Exchange and regulated by the ASIC (collectively, "CIBC") and (d) in the United States either by (i) CIBC World Markets Inc. for distribution only to U.S. Major Institutional Investors ("MII") (as such term is defined in SEC Rule 15a-6) or (ii) CIBC World Markets Corp., a member of the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority. U.S. MIIs receiving this report from CIBC World Markets Inc. (the Canadian broker-dealer) are required to effect transactions (other than negotiating their terms) in securities discussed in the report through CIBC World Markets Corp. (the U.S. broker-dealer).

This report is provided, for informational purposes only, to institutional investor and retail clients of CIBC World Markets Inc. in Canada, and does not constitute an offer or solicitation to buy or sell any securities discussed herein in any jurisdiction where such offer or solicitation would be prohibited. This document and any of the products and information contained herein are not intended for the use of private investors in the United Kingdom. Such investors will not be able to enter into agreements or purchase products mentioned herein from CIBC World Markets plc. The comments and views expressed in this document are meant for the general interests of wholesale clients of CIBC Australia Limited.

This report does not take into account the investment objectives, financial situation or specific needs of any particular client of CIBC. Before making an investment decision on the basis of any information contained in this report, the recipient should consider whether such information is appropriate given the recipient's particular investment needs, objectives and financial circumstances. CIBC suggests that, prior to acting on any information contained herein, you contact one of our client advisers in your jurisdiction to discuss your particular circumstances. Since the levels and bases of taxation can change, any reference in this report to the impact of taxation should not be construed as offering tax advice; as with any transaction having potential tax implications, clients should consult with their own tax advisors. Past performance is not a guarantee of future results.

The information and any statistical data contained herein were obtained from sources that we believe to be reliable, but we do not represent that they are accurate or complete, and they should not be relied upon as such. All estimates and opinions expressed herein constitute judgments as of the date of this report and are subject to change without notice.

This report may provide addresses of, or contain hyperlinks to, Internet web sites. CIBC has not reviewed the linked Internet web site of any third party and takes no responsibility for the contents thereof. Each such address or hyperlink is provided solely for the recipient's convenience and information, and the content of linked third-party web sites is not in any way incorporated into this document. Recipients who choose to access such third-party web sites or follow such hyperlinks do so at their own risk.

© 2016 CIBC World Markets Inc. All rights reserved. Unauthorized use, distribution, duplication or disclosure without the prior written permission of CIBC World Markets Inc. is prohibited by law and may result in prosecution.